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Nordic co-operation
Nordic co-operation is one of the world’s most extensive forms of regional collaboration, involving Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Sweden and the Faroe Islands, Greenland and Åland.

Nordic co-operation has firm traditions in politics, the economy and culture. It plays an important role in European and international collaboration, and aims at creating a strong Nordic community in a strong Europe.

Nordic co-operation seeks to safeguard Nordic and regional interests and principles in the global community. Common Nordic values help the region solidify its position as one of the world’s most innovative and competitive.
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Foreword

Achieving sustainable development is an ambitious but necessary goal. There is no alternative: we have to improve welfare and quality of life globally and safeguard the earth’s ability to support life in all its diversity.

The Nordic countries have established joint objectives for sustainable development in the Nordic region. In addition, the countries have agreed on a set of sustainability indicators that give an overall picture of our progress. The indicator set was created with the help of Copenhagen Resource Institute.

This brochure briefly describes these Nordic Sustainable Development Indicators, which show long-term trends in the following focus areas: the Nordic welfare model; viable ecosystems; changing climate; sustainable use of the earth’s resources; and education, research and innovation.

A complete set of key indicators, including separate national graphics, can be found on our website www.norden.org/sdindicators. The website also offers free access to the Nordic Statistics database, which allows you to download data and create graphics for your own analysis.

I believe that our indicators are useful not just for decision makers and civil servants but for all who need reliable information and comparable data on development in the Nordic region.

Dagfinn Høybråten

Secretary General
Nordic Council of Ministers

This publication has been published with financial support by the Nordic Council of Ministers. However, the contents of this publication do not necessarily reflect the views, policies or recommendations of the Nordic Council of Ministers.
1. The Nordic welfare model

1.1 Demographic trends

The indicator shows the population development and the contributing factors: births, deaths and net migration. The population development is shown as an index based on 1990, i.e. you can directly read how much the population has grown compared to the other countries. The contributing factors are here shown as the crude rate, i.e. the number of births, deaths and net migration per 1000 inhabitants.

Population Development. Index 1990=100

[Graph showing population development indices for different countries from 1990 to 2012]
Iceland had the highest population growth. Its population has increased by almost 26 per cent since 1990. The Faroe Islands and Greenland have had the smallest increase, by only 1.6 and 1.9 per cent respectively. Except in the Faroe Islands, Greenland and Iceland, net migration contributes most to the increasing population.

Compared to EU27, birth rates in the Nordic countries are generally higher and death rates generally lower.
1.2 Gross Domestic Product (GDP)

The indicator Gross Domestic Product per person shows trends in the nation’s general economic situation. Purchasing Power Standards (PPS) have been recalculated, thereby eliminating differences in price levels between countries.

All of the Nordic countries have a higher GDP per person than the EU. In Norway, the GDP per person is more than twice that of the EU27. Norway is at the top, Sweden and Denmark numbers two and three.

GDP per person has increased in all countries since 1995 but, due to the global financial crisis, GDP declined in all countries in 2009.
1.3 Public debt

The indicator shows the consolidated general government gross debt as a percentage of GDP, as defined in the Maastricht Treaty.

**Consolidated general government gross debt, per cent of GDP**

Except for Iceland, the share of debt is much lower in all the Nordic countries than in the EU, and all are below the EU threshold of 60 per cent of GDP. However, both Denmark and Finland (and the EU) have experienced a rise in their debt share since the beginning of the financial crisis.
1.4 Urbanisation

The indicator shows the share of the population living in densely, intermediate and thinly populated areas. The populations are placed in the different areas of urbanisation based on the EU definition of Rural grid cells, Urban clusters and High-density clusters.

Degree of Urbanisation. Per cent of total population

Since 2000, densely populated areas have increased in Denmark, Finland and Sweden, and in Norway since 2005.

In the EU the largest proportion of the population lives in densely populated areas. In 2012, Finland was the only country where most of the population lived in thinly populated areas.
1.5 Employment and unemployment rate

The employment rate is defined as the proportion of persons aged 15 to 64 years in employment. The EU 2020 target is an employment rate of 75 per cent for the 20 to 64 year group.

*Employment rate, 15–64 years of age*

All the Nordic countries with the exception of Finland are close to – or even exceed – the target of an employment rate of 75 per cent for people aged 20 to 64, even when the 15–20 group is included. Employment rate is usually much lower for this latter group.

The employment rates of the young are much lower than for the total population in the working age group (15 to 64) and in recent years it has also declined due to the financial crisis.
The overall unemployment rate has fluctuated since the early 1990s. The current rate has varied from 0.3 per cent in the Faroe Islands in 2008 to almost 17 per cent in Finland in 1994, and was at a maximum in most countries in the early 1990s and late 2000s.

All Nordic countries have experienced a rise in their unemployment since the financial crisis began in 2008. In 2012, Denmark, Finland and Sweden had the highest rates nearly 8 per cent.

The unemployment rate is much higher for young people aged 15 to 24 than for the population between 15 and 64. In the EU, more than 20 per cent of people in that group are unemployed. This is more than twice the overall rate.
1.6 Life expectancy at birth

The indicator life expectancy at birth indicates how many years a newborn is expected to live, based on the current patterns of mortality. As the mortality rate differs between men and women (women tend to live longer), life expectancy is calculated separately for men and women.

**Life expectancy, years. Men**

![Bar chart showing life expectancy for men in different countries from 1990 and 2012.](INDIC06)
Even though women still tend to live longer, life expectancy for men is increasing, so the gap is closing between the sexes.

Except for the Danish women and the Greenlandic men and women, people in the Nordic countries can expect to live longer than the average European.
1.7 Gini-coefficient

The Gini-coefficient is the most commonly used measure of inequality. The coefficient varies between 0, which reflects complete equality (when everybody have identical incomes) and 1, which indicates complete inequality (i.e. one person has all the income or consumption, all others have none).

All the Nordic countries are considered to be very equal societies. In recent years (2010 to 2011), inequality has risen in Denmark, Finland and Sweden. This trend is also seen in the rest of Europe and among other OECD countries.
1.8 Risk of poverty amongst families with children

The indicator measures the proportion of persons at risk of monetary poverty. Monetary poverty is a key dimension of social inclusion. Persons are at risk of poverty if their equivalised disposable income is below the risk-of-poverty threshold, which in the EU is set at 60 per cent of the national median income after social transfers.

In all the Nordic countries, single parents are at a greater risk of poverty than two adults with children.

In 2011, single parents in Sweden were at the greatest risk of poverty compared with the other Nordic countries and the 27 EU countries.

Since 2004, most countries have experienced an increase in the risk of poverty for both types of households.
1.9 Gender pay gap

The unadjusted Gender Pay Gap represents the difference between average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees and of female paid employees as a percentage of average gross hourly earnings of male paid employees.

The gender pay gap in Denmark, Norway and Sweden is around 15 to 16 per cent and is generally at the same level as that of the EU. The gap is slightly wider in Finland – around 19 per cent in 2011.

From 2010 to 2011, the gap widened in Denmark. In Finland, Norway and Sweden and in the EU it has diminished.
2. Viable ecosystems

2.1 Sustainable fish stocks in Nordic seas

The indicator shows trends in the sustainable yield of stocks of fish in 5 marine regions particularly relevant to the Nordic countries. The marine regions are: the Barents and Norwegian Sea, Iceland and East Greenland, the Faroese Plateau, the North Sea and the Baltic Sea.

Ideally, if stock management is good, the Fish Mortality Sustainable Yield ($F/F_{msy}$) should be around or below 1. The threshold for sustainable spawning stock mass – the Biomass trigger ($B/B_{trigger}$) – has been set at 1 and should therefore be around or above 1.

Demersal fish, such as cod, hake and haddock, live and feed on or near the sea bed but not in the deepest waters. Pelagic fish, such as herring and mackerel, live and feed away from the sea bed. Benthic fish, such as flounder, sole, turbot, plaice and halibut, live and feed near the sea bed, irrespective of the depth of the water.

**Fish Mortality Sustainable Yield $F/F_{msy}$**
The overall picture regarding trends in fish mortality from 1990 onwards is positive for all types of fish stocks. The indicator for pelagic stocks is below 1, and below 1.5 for demersal and benthic stocks. The trend is downwards, and therefore positive.

The Biomass trigger has been on the positive side of the threshold since 1990 with some fluctuations below the threshold over the period. In 2011, pelagic and benthic stocks were approximately 1.3 and demersal stocks approximately 1.8.
2.2 Discharge of nitrogen and phosphorus into the Baltic Sea

The indicator shows the annual discharges of nitrogen and phosphorus into the Baltic Sea from all the countries bordering the Baltic Sea.

Data covers both monitored and unmonitored loads (i.e. loads from unmonitored areas). From parts of the countries surrounding the Baltic Sea (especially Russia), some loads have not been reported. Data concerning loads from the Kaliningrad region (Russia), are missing completely. The load from the Tornionjoki/Torneälv river forming the boundary between Sweden and Finland has been allocated exclusively to Sweden, and the load of the river Narva between Estonia and Russia is exclusively allocated to Estonia.

Discharge of nitrogen into the Baltic Sea. (1 000 tonnes)
The amount of precipitation, and thus the amount of riverine flow, are closely related to the riverine input of nutrients to the Baltic Sea. This can be seen clearly, for example, in the diagrams for 2003. Runoff was very low, so the discharges of both nitrogen and phosphorus were also low.

The largest nitrogen and phosphorus discharges are from Poland. Discharge of nitrogen from Poland is nearly 2½ times that of the second country, Sweden and discharge of phosphorus from Poland is three times that of the second country, Sweden. Sweden, Russia and Finland are in second, third and fourth place for both types of discharge. The same four countries also have the largest riverine water runoff in the region.

Total discharge of nitrogen into the Baltic Sea declined from approximately 850,000 tonnes in 1994 to approximately 600,000 tonnes in 2010.

Phosphorus discharge decreased slightly between 1994 and 2010, but only marginally.
2.3 Change in the common bird index

The common bird index is an aggregated index integrating the population abundance and the diversity of a selection of bird species associated with specific habitats, as in this example of common farmland birds.

Change in the bird index for farmland. 2000=100

The number of farmland bird species has declined in the Nordic countries and the EU between 1980 and 2010. The largest decline over this period is seen in Finland, where the number of species has almost halved.

In recent years, the decline has slowed for most countries and, in Finland, there has even been a slight increase since 2000.
2.4 Developments in annual fellings and increments in forests

The indicator shows three trends in forests: the annual amount of forest fellings, the net increment and the annual fellings as a percentage of net annual increment. The latter is shown here.

**Forests. Annual fellings as share of net annual increment.**

The net annual increment of forests is now greater than in 1990 for all the Nordic countries. Annual fellings are also greater than in 1990, with the exception of Norway.

In all Nordic countries except Norway, there were fewer fellings in relation to the net increment in 2010 than in 2005. In the EU and Norway, the rate of fellings has increased in in the same period.
2.5 Urban population exposure to air pollution by ozone and particulates

The first part of the indicator shows the population-weighted annual total of maximum daily eight-hour mean ozone concentrations above a threshold of 70 micrograms of ozone per m$^3$ at background stations in urban areas. The second part of the indicator shows the population-weighted annual mean concentration of particulate matter (PM10) at urban background. Particulate matter (PM10) comprises particles of smaller than 10 micrometers.

*Urban population exposure to ozone. Number of hours*.  

---

*INDIC205. $^1$ Number of eight-hours mean concentration (annual sum).*
Urban exposure to ozone widely varies between countries, partly due to differences in climate and vegetation. In general, southern countries with higher summer temperatures show higher exposure levels than the cooler northern countries. Nevertheless, peaks occurred throughout the EU in 2003 and 2006 due to exceptionally high temperatures in those years. This increase was most pronounced in the northern countries, which showed higher relative increases compared to the southern countries.

In Denmark, Norway and Sweden, there was a decline in the exposure to ozone between 2008 and 2010.

All Nordic countries and EU countries were well below the EU threshold of 40 micrograms of particulate matter per day per m³ in 2005. In 2006 the threshold was set to 20 micrograms per m³ and all the Nordic countries except for Norway also met this criteria by 2010, with levels below 15 micrograms per m³. However, in 2010, Norway is hitting the target of 20 micrograms per m³.

Both Iceland and Norway have experienced a slight increase in the levels of particulate matter from 2009 to 2010.
3. Changing climate

3.1 Share of renewable energy in gross energy supply

The indicator shows the share of renewable energy in the total energy supply for consumption. Renewable energy sources are hydro-electric, wind, geothermal, wave and solar energy.

![Share of renewable energy in gross energy supply. Per cent.](image)

Due to the availability of natural resources, hydro-electric and geothermal power are major sources of energy in the Nordic countries.

Since 1990 there has been a rise in the proportion of renewable energy in the overall energy supply in the Nordic region – between 5 and 16 per cent. The exception is Norway, where the proportion has decreased from nearly 53 per cent to 42 per cent.

The biggest change has occurred in Denmark, where the proportion had increased nearly fourfold in 2011 compared with 1990.
3.2 Developments in greenhouse gas emissions by sector

This indicator shows the contribution of key source categories to total greenhouse gas emissions, and how they change over time. A key source category is defined as an emission source category that has a significant influence on a country’s greenhouse gas inventory in terms of the absolute level of emissions, the trend in emissions, or both.

The different greenhouse gases are weighted by their global warming potential, and the results are expressed in CO₂ equivalents.

Total greenhouse gas emissions. 1990=100.

In Denmark and Sweden, greenhouse gas emissions decreased by around 10 per cent between 1990 and 2010, but emissions have increased in Finland, Norway and Iceland. Iceland has had the highest increase in greenhouse gas emissions, nearly 40 per cent.

![Greenhouse gas emissions by sectors](image)

- **Waste**
- **Solvent and Other product use**
- **Other energy sectors**
- **Manufacturing and Construction**
- **Agriculture**
- **Industrial processes**
- **Transport**
- **Energy industries**

*INDIC302*
Greenhouse gas emissions by sectors. EU27 total. Million tonnes of CO₂ equivalents.
3.3 Emissions and land use, land use change and forestry

The indicator show changes in emission and removals of greenhouse gases resulting from direct human-induced land use, land use change and forestry activities (LULUCF).

Whether emissions from this sector are positive or negative depend on the practices regarding forestation, deforestation, afforestation, cropland management, grazing land management and re-vegetation.

**Greenhouse gas emissions from LULUCF. 1 000 tonnes of CO₂ equivalents per 100 000 inhabitants.**

All the Nordic countries except Iceland now have a LULUCF sector that produces negative emissions of greenhouse gases. In the case of Denmark this has only happened recently.

In terms of long-term development since 1990, emissions have been reduced considerably, particularly in Island and Norway. Norway now has the largest negative contribution.
4. Sustainable use of earth’s resources

4.1 Decoupling of environmental pressures and economic growth

This indicator shows the developments in greenhouse gas emissions, total energy consumption and the GDP since 1990, and gives an indication of a possible correlation between economic growth and environmental pressures and gross energy consumption.

**GDP, energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions. Nordic countries total.**

![Graph showing GDP, energy consumption, and greenhouse gas emissions for Nordic countries from 1995 to 2010](INDIC401)
Between 1995 and 2010, energy consumption has increased in all the Nordic countries – an overall increase of 13 per cent.

Considering the total greenhouse gas emissions in the same period, the overall tendency varies more between the Nordic countries. For the Nordic countries in total, there has been a decrease of 5 per cent in emissions from 1995 to 2010. In the same period the GDP of the Nordic countries has increased by 40 per cent.

In the EU27, GDP has increased by nearly 80 per cent. At the same time, greenhouse gas emissions in the EU have fallen by 10 per cent, but total energy consumption has increased by 5.5 per cent.
4.2 Nordic Ecolabel and the EU Ecolabel

The indicator shows the number of Nordic Ecolabel licences issued in the Nordic countries and the number of EU Ecolabel licences issued to Nordic producers.

**Number of ecolicenses issued in the Nordic countries**

![Graph showing the number of ecolicenses issued in the Nordic countries from 1993 to 2012. The Nordic Ecolabel licences are indicated by blue bars, and the EU Ecolabel licences are indicated by red bars.]

- Since the Nordic Ecolabel was set up in 1989, there has been a steady increase in the number of licences issued.

- The number of EU Ecolabel licences has also increased but much more gradually, and there have been greater fluctuations and even a decline recently.
4.3 Proportion of environmental taxes in total tax revenue

The indicator shows the proportion of taxes with a primary environmental goal as a proportion of total tax revenues. Environmental taxes are divided into taxes on transport, energy taxes, and taxes on pollution and resources.

Environmental taxes have shown a downward trend since 2000 in Denmark, Iceland and the EU27. In Sweden and Finland there has been a modest rise. Environmental taxes range from 5.4 per cent of the tax revenue in Iceland to 8.5 in Denmark.

In all the countries, taxes on energy comprise the largest proportion of environmental taxes, followed by taxes on transport, while taxes on pollution and resources only account for a minimal proportion of total taxes. However, taxes on transport are still substantial in Denmark and Finland.
4.4 Development in municipal waste management by treatment method

This indicator presents the quantities of municipal waste recovered through recycling and composting, as well as the amount disposed through landfilling and incineration.

Since 1995, the amount of waste being treated has gone up in all countries.

Finland and Iceland still deposit a large proportion of their waste onto or into the land. This is a treatment method that has almost completely disappeared in the three other Nordic countries. In Denmark, Norway and Sweden, most waste is incinerated and often used for energy recovery.

Generally, material recycling has become a more important treatment type over the last 15 years.
5. Education, research and innovation

5.1 Educational attainment

The indicator defines the percentage of the population aged 15–74 having reached UNESCO’s International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) level 3 and 4 (upper- and post-secondary education) and level 5 and 6 (tertiary education).

Educational attainment at upper- and post-secondary level. Per cent of total population aged 15–74

![Graph representing educational attainment at upper- and post-secondary level.](image)

**INDIC501**
Between 35 and 50 per cent of the Nordic population aged 15–74 have a secondary education and 22 to 30 per cent a tertiary education.

Finland and Norway have the highest proportion of people aged 15–74 with tertiary education, and Denmark the lowest. When both secondary and tertiary levels are considered, Sweden has the highest proportion with almost 77 per cent, while Iceland is lowest with 61 per cent. Generally the educational attainment level is higher in the Nordic countries than in the EU countries as a whole.

The proportion of people having a tertiary education has been rising in all the Nordic countries – and in the EU – since the late 1990s.
5.2 Research and development expenditure as a percentage of GDP, by sectors of performance

The indicator is defined as gross domestic expenditure on research and experimental development (GERD) as a percentage of GDP. The expenses are shown by performing sector.

All the Nordic countries except Norway spend more on R&D than EU average of 2.0 per cent. Norway spends 1.7 per cent. However, if the Norwegian R&D expenses were calculated in euro per person, spending would be higher due to Norway’s extraordinarily high GDP.

While R&D expenses in EU27 have constituted an unchanged proportion of GDP since the mid-1990s, the R&D expenses of the Nordic countries have typically increased.
This brochure briefly describes the Nordic Sustainable Development Indicators, which show long-term trends in the following focus areas: the Nordic welfare model; viable ecosystems; changing climate; sustainable use of the earth’s resources; and education, research and innovation.

A complete set of key indicators, including separate national graphics, can be found on the website www.norden.org/sdindicators. The website also offers free access to the Nordic Statistics database, which allows you to download data and create graphics for your own analysis.
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